PURESSAL OF PROJECTS NAMELY REHABILIATION OF ROAD FROM AKBAR POWK TO FAISALABAD ROAD ALONG CANAL OKARA CITY AND LAYING OF TUFF CAVERS/TILES IN VARIOUS IMPROTANT AREAS OF OKARA CITY HELD ON 19.07.2023 AT 11:30 (P.M) P.M UNDER THE CHAIRMANSHIP OF ADDITIONAL DEPUTY COMMISSIONER(F&P) OKARA

In the light of letter No. MMP/PMDFC/1076/GEN/856/2023 dated 27.07.2023 regarding the subject cited above received from MM Pakistan (Pvt) Ltd, Minutes of Meeting of Grievances Redressal Committee dated 19-07-2023 are hereby revised as under: -

| Sr. No | Name Company/ | Grievances | Redresasal |
|--------|------------------|--|--|
| | Contractor. | The art. I have been been | Reuresasar |
| 1. | B.E Construction | The bidder raised the objection | The record of bidder regarding financial |
| | (Pvt.) Ltd | about Financial Soundness and | soundness was perused wherein certain |
| | (, | Personnel Capabilities caregory. | |
| | | reisonner capabilities calegory. | discrepancies came up. The Consultants |
| | | Larre en applicació de la | were requested to re-evaluate the |
| | | | technical bid and report accordingly. |
| | | | Whereas, the grievance about personal |
| 2. | M/S Imran | The M/S Imran Associates | capability was found correct. |
| | Associates | pointed out the shortcoming in | After detailed deliberations with the |
| | Associates | | bidder, committee members thrashed out |
| | | the category of Experience Record and was aggrieved about | the specified record, his numbers in this |
| | | | category were computed and found as 20 |
| | | the scoring performed by Consultant. | instead of 17. Therefore revised technical evaluation is recommended. |
| 3. | Al-Mudassar | The bidder participated in both | |
| | Engineers Co. | of the above mentioned schemes. | Since, the mandatory criteria laid down in the bidding documents cannot be |
| | Engineers Co. | The objections were of | delete the second second second |
| | | mandatory nature wherein he did | violated/overlooked, grievance of |
| | | not attach the document of no | confractor is rejected as recommended by the consultant. |
| | | litigation. However, document of | the consultant. |
| 7/ | | not blacklisting of the firm was | |
| | | found annexed on stamp paper, | |
| | | the other objection was about not | |
| | | properly signed and stamped on | |
| | | bid document and non-glovision | |
| | | of signed integrity pact. | |
| 4. | Al-Fazal | The bidder's grievance is only | Since the mandatory criteria iaia down in |
| | Construction & | about the scheme "Laying of | the hiddles to |
| | Co. | Tuff Pavers/riles in various | vio ated/overlooked, grievance of |
| | | important areas of the City | contractor is rejected as recommended by |
| | | Okara" wherein, the decuments | the consultant. |
| | | regarding non blacklisting were | |
| | | attached. He requested to | |
| | | consider his non blacklisting as | |
| | | no liftigation as well. | |
| . 5. | Muhammad | The bidder participated in both | Since the mandatory criteria laid down in |
| | Hanif Anjum. | of the projects. His integrity Post | the bidding desuments |
| | | | are ordina, i somethic compot at |

proforma was found missing in violated/overlooked. grievance both of the schemes. He apprised contractor is rejected as recommended by the consultant. that he affixed said the documents in financial bid and requested to consider the same. 6. M/S Muhammad The firm had bracketed in both litigation certificate/ affidavit Afzal Contractor provided by contractor is contrary to the the schemes and facts. Since the mandatory criteria laid Muhammad grievances are about subn'ission Riaz Contractor down in the bidding documents cannot be of undertaking on Legal valid (JV). violated/overlooked. Therefore, grievance and attested stamp Paper that the of contractor is rejected as recommended firm is not blacklisted and is not involved in litigation with any of by the consultant. and provincial government department and affidavit on non-judicial stamp paper for no litigation or submission or litigation history of last 10 years. The contractor further stated that the Hon'ble Lahore High Court, Lahore granted relief to the pet lioner on 04.05.2023 against Writ Petition 58952/2022 with direction "the Petitione: shall be permitted to proceed with the contract in accordance with the letter of award issued by the Respondent's ruisipa'

All were directed to comply with the directions. The Meeting ended by the Chair

with remarks of thanks

Manieinal Officer (Planning)

Municipal Committee

Okara

Sub Divinion Divisional Officer Pullic Health Engineering

Okara

Add!: Deputy Commissioner (F & ?)

Okaro

CC: -

1. The Deputy Commissioner, Ckera.

2. The Administrator, Municipal Committee, Okara.

Committee, Ckara

- 3. The Chief Officer, Municipal Committee, Okara.
- 4. The Senior Program Officer (Procurement), PCL, PMDFC, Lahore.
- 5. The Deputy Program Director (D), PCP, PMDFC, Lahore.
- 6. The Senior Program Cff per (III), FOP, CMDFO, Labore.
- 7. Office File.

ATTENDANCE SHEET FOR CONTRACTORS REGARDING GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL OF PCP PROJECT MC OKARA

| Name of B | 1) Laying of Tuff Pavers/ tiles in various important areas of |
|------------------|---|
| Name of Project: | Okara city 2) Rehabilitation of Road from Akbar Chowk to Faisalabad |
| | Road along Canal Okara City |

Date: 19-07-2023

| Sr. No | Name of Firm | Contact No. | Signature |
|-----------|-------------------------------|---------------|-----------|
| \ | AL-Mudassar Engi | 0321-4757086 | Martid |
| 2 | B.E | 0353-6[3 [20) | |
| 3 | M/S Imran Associate | 0305-4090875 | 40. |
| 4 | MSAL. Fazed Constint | 0300-1213509 | -Jubell |
| 5 | M. HANIFANJUM | 0300-6565577 | 1-11 3 |
| 6 | Michamord Afaul Contractor | 0300-099661) | Th |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

ATTENDANCE SHEET FOR COMMITTEE REGARDING GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL OF PCP PROJECT MC OKARA

| Name of Project: | 1) Laying of Tuff Pavers/ Tiles in various important areas of Okara city |
|------------------|---|
| | 2) Rehabilitation of Road from Akbar Chowk to Faisalabad Road along Canal Okara City |

Date: 19-07-2023

| Sr. No | Name of officer/ officials | Designation | Signature |
|-----------|----------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| | Talink Amin | ADC(CPP) | Jung |
| | Jakongi HASSAR | SIEPHED | eh Art |
| | M. Bilal | Rep. of Mop | Tentront- |



MINUTES OF THE MEETING REGARDIN GRIEVANCES REDRESSAL OF PROJECTS NAMELY (1) REHABILIATION TO ROAD FAISALABAD ROAD ALONG CANAL OKARA CITY (2) LAYING OF TUFF PAVERS / TILES VARIOUS IMPROTANT AREAS IN OKARA CITY HELD ON 19.07.2023 AT 11:30 A.M UNDER THE CHAIRMANSHIP OF ADDITIONAL DEPUTY COMMISSIONER (F&P) OKARA.

The following attend the meeting: -

1. Addl: Deputy Commissioner (F&P), Okara

(In Chair)

- 2. Municipal Officer (Planning), Okara.
- 3. Sub Divisional Officer, PHED, Okara
- 4. Representative of PMDFC, Lahore.
- 5. Representative of MMP, Lahore

The Meeting Commenced with the recitation of the Holy Quran. The chair welcomed the participants in the beginning and took an overall view and instructed them to come up with their grievances separately so that the bone of contention can be redressed in detail.

The proceedings are hereby replicated in the following manner:-

| Sr. No. | Name Company/ Contractor. | Grievances | Redressal |
|------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| 1. | B.E Construction (Pvt.) Ltd | The bidder raised the objection about Financial Soundness and Personnel Capabilities category. | The record of bidder regarding financial soundness was perused wherein certain discrepancy came up. The Consultants were requested to revaluate the technical bid and report accordingly. Whereas, the grievance about personal capabilities was found correct as evaluated by the Consultants. |
| 2. | M/S Imran Associates | The M/S Imran Associates pointed out the shortcoming in the category of Experience Record and was aggrieved about the scoring performed by Consultant. | After detailed deliberations with the bidder, committee members thrashed out the specified record, his numbers in this category were computed and found as 15 instead of 17 but the convener allowed giving him benefit of the doubt. |
| 3. | Al-Mudassar Engineers Co. | The bidder participated in both of the above mentioned schemes. The objections were of mandatory nature wherein he did not attach the document of no litigation. However, document of not blacklisting of the firm was found annexed on stamp paper, the other objection was about not properly signed and stamped on bid document and non provision of signed integrity pact. | The Committee after detailed discussions unanimously decided to consider his non blacklisting affidavit as no litigation in the scheme "Laying of Tuff Pavers/Tiles in various important areas of the City Okara". Same were not found in the original Technical Bid of Rehabilitation of Road from Akbar Chowk to Faisalabad Road along Canal. The Committee was of the view that if there is no blacklisting then question of litigation does not arise as mentioned above. Prima facie blacklist and litigation are chips of the same block. The bidder pleaded that he had signed and stamped all the documents compiled in his bidding documents. |
| 4. | Al-Fazal Construction & Co. | The bidder's grievance is only about the scheme "Laying of Tuff Pavers/Tiles in various important areas of the City Okara" wherein, the documents regarding non blacklisting were attached. He requested to consider his non blacklisting as no litigation as well. | The committee in order to ensure healthy competition accepted his version. |

| | The bidder participated in both of the projects. His Integrity Pact proforma was found missing in both of the schemes. He apprised that he affixed the said documents in financial bid and requested to consider the same. | The Committee decided to accept his request by imposing a condition to submit his version on his company letter head. |
|--|---|---|
| M/S Muhammad Afzal Contractor – Muhammad Riaz Contractor (JV). | The firm had bracketed in both of the schemes and the grievances are about submission of undertaking on Legal valid and attested stamp Paper that the firm is not blacklisted and is not involved in litigation with any of provincial and federal government department and affidavit on non-judicial stamp paper for no litigation or submission of litigation history of last 10 years. The contractor further stated that the Hon'ble Lahore High Court, Lahore granted relief to the petitioner on 04.05.2023 against Writ Petition No. 58952/2022 with the direction "the Petitioner shall be permitted to proceed with the contract in accordance with the letter of award issued by the Respondent/Municipal Committee, Okara | The committee decided to accept the request/version and recommended to evaluate his technical bids for healthy competition in larger public interest. |

All were directed to comply with the directions. The Meeting ended by the Chair with remarks of thanks.

Municipal Officer (Planning), Municipal Compittee, Okara. Sub Division Divisional Officer, Public Health Engineering, / Okara

Addl: Deputy Commissioner (F & P), Okara

CC: -

- 1. The Deputy Commissioner, Okara.
- 2. The Administrator, Municipal Committee, Okara.
- 3. The Chief Officer, Municipal Committee, Okara.
- 4. The Senior Program Officer (Procurement), PCP, PMDFC, Lahore.
- 5. The Deputy Program Director (ID), PCP, PMDFC, Lahore.
- 6. The Senior Program Officer (ID), PCP, OMDFC, Lahore.
- 7. Office File.